## Overview: LHC experiments upgrade



#### Stefan Tapprogge

Institut für Physik



2008 NSS-MIC Special Focus Workshops

Oct 19<sup>th</sup> 2008, Dresden

- Physics motivation
- Machine upgrade
- Detector upgrades



# Physics motivation

## **Physics motivation**

#### • LHC has a huge physics potential

o new physics expected at the TeV scale

- $\rightarrow$  find the missing piece of the SM (Higgs boson)
- find new forces/particles beyond the SM
- improvements/indications via precision measurements
- prepare further extensions of physics program
  - $\rightarrow$  extend reach for discoveries

o access to larger mass scales and/or to rare processes

> statistically limited precision measurements

• physics aims of upgrade will be a 'moving target'

→ to be influenced by first LHC results

## Physics motivation (cont'd)

#### • extension of physics reach via

- $\rightarrow$  increase in luminosity
- $\rightarrow$  increase in  $\sqrt{s}$
- $\rightarrow$  increase in luminosity and  $\sqrt{s}$

#### • following selected examples use $\rightarrow$ luminosity: 10<sup>34</sup> vs. 10<sup>35</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>

 $\rightarrow \sqrt{s}$ : **14** vs. **28** vs. **42** TeV

(for fixed  $\sqrt{s}$ )

(for fixed luminosity)

THE EUROPEAN PHYSICAL JOURNAL C

Eur. Phys. J.

C39 (2005), 293

#### • more details to be found in:

## Physics potential and experimental challenges of the LHC luminosity upgrade

Conveners: F. Gianotti<sup>1</sup>, M.L. Mangano<sup>2</sup>, T. Virdee<sup>1,3</sup>

## Example: new heavy gauge bosons



• luminosity increase by factor 10

 $\rightarrow$  increase Z' mass reach by ~ 1 TeV

•  $\sqrt{s}$  increase gives larger benefit (wrt lumi increase)

increase W' mass reach (while less luminosity needed)

## Example: supersymmetry



- within the mSUGRA model
- clear extension of physics reach

→ as expected, higher √s better then higher L

- to profit from extended reach
  - → need good object ID and reconstruction

## Example: (SM) Higgs boson properties



improvement on coupling to fermions and bosons
access to rare decay modes: H → μμ, H → Zγ
access to Higgs self-coupling ?

## Example: triple gauge boson couplings



- sensitivity to anomalous couplings improves with
  - → higher √s
  - $\rightarrow$  higher L
  - → higher √s and L
- SLHC reaches level of ew radiative corrections

## Summary on physics motivation

- largest benefits due to increase in  $\sqrt{s}$ 
  - experimental conditions possibly less challenging
- luminosity increase provides good extension
  - $\rightarrow$  increased reach in mass scale typically by 20-30 %
  - strong requirements on detector performance
    - for (some) discoveries reduced performance tolerable
    - ${\rm O}$  for (precision) measurements, similar performance in high  $p_{\rm T}$  signatures as for present detectors needed
  - $\rightarrow$  further motivation: being prepared for the unforeseen
    - problems, failures, ...

#### upgrades of LHCb and ALICE to extend their physics potential being studied as well

not so much coupled to accelerator upgrades - not discussed here

# Machine upgrade

## When to upgrade?



#### • upgrade around 2016(+n) seems appropriate

timescales in this talk as before Sep 19<sup>th</sup> incident

## Upgrade planning

- LHC collimation system not fully installed
  - → to happen in 2010/11 limited to 40% intensity now
- staged approach
  - → phase 1: reliable operation at up to  $3*10^{34}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>
    - new injector LINAC4: approved
      - → factor of 2.3 in luminosity (larger current)
    - introduce new triplets with  $\beta = 0.25m$  (larger aperture)
      - → factor of 2 in luminosity
  - $\rightarrow$  phase 2: target luminosity of 10<sup>35</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>
    - injector upgrades (higher current, reliability; shorter injection time) • possibly triplets with  $\beta *=0.15m$  (Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn)
  - → also envisaged: 'complementary measures'
    - long range beam-beam compensation, crab cavities, advanced collimators, ...
    - phase 2 might be phase 1 + complementary measures

#### • longer term: energy upgrade, LHeC, ...

## Peak and integrated luminosity



• Basis for planning as approved at LHCC meeting 1st July 2008

#### • Phase 1 start: 6 - 8 month shutdown end 2012

 $\rightarrow$  accumulate 700 fb<sup>-1</sup> of integrated luminosity

#### • Phase 2 start: 18 month shutdown at end of 2016

minimum lifetime of detectors in phase 2 corresponding to 3000 fb<sup>-1</sup> integrated luminosity

## Upgrade schemes



early-separation dipoles in side detectors , crab cavities  $\rightarrow$  hardware inside ATLAS & CMS detectors,

first hadron crab cavities; off-δ β-beat

- β\* ~10 cm
- crab cavities with 60% higher voltage
  - $\rightarrow$  first hadron crab cavities, off-  $\delta\beta$  -beat

#### large Piwinski angle (LPA)

F. Ruggiero, W. Scandale. F. Zimmermann

#### larger-aperture triplet magnets

- 50 ns spacing, longer & more intense bunches (5x10<sup>11</sup> protons/bunch)
- $\beta^* \sim 25$  cm, no elements inside detectors
- long-range beam-beam wire compensation
  - $\rightarrow$  novel operating regime for hadron colliders, and for beam generation

2008 NSS-MIC, Dresden, 19th Oct. 2008

## Upgrade parameter overview

parameter	symbol	nominal	ultimate	Early Sep.	Full Crab Xing	L. Piw Angle
transverse emittance	ε [μm]	3.75	3.75	3.75	3.75	3.75
protons per bunch	$N_b [10^{11}]$	1.15	1.7	1.7	1.7	4.9
bunch spacing	Δt [ns]	25	25	25	25	50
beam current	I [A]	0.58	0.86	0.86	0.86	1.22
longitudinal profile		Gauss	Gauss	Gauss	Gauss	Flat
rms bunch length	$\sigma_{z}$ [cm]	7.55	7.55	7.55	7.55	1
beta* at IP1&5	β* [m]	0.55	0.5	0.08	0.08	25
full crossing angle	θ <sub>e</sub> [µrad]	285	315	S.	0	81
Piwinski parameter	$\phi = \theta_c \sigma_z / (2^* \sigma_x^*)$	0.64	0.75	<sup>e</sup>	С o	2.0
hourglass reduction		1.0	1.0	9,86	0.86	0.99
peak luminosity	$L [10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}]$	1	2.3	<b>10</b> 5.5	15.5	10.7
peak events per #ing		19	44	294	294	<b>3</b> 403
initial lumi lifetime	$\tau_{L}$ [h]	22	14	2.2	2.2	4.5
effective luminosity (T <sub>turnaround</sub> =10 h)	$L_{e\!f\!f}[10^{34}{ m cm}^{-2}{ m s}^{-1}]$	0.46	0.91	2.4	2.4	2.5
	T <sub>run,opt</sub> [h]	21.2	17.0	6.6	6.6	9.5
effective luminosity (T <sub>turnaround</sub> =5 h)	$L_{e\!f\!f}[10^{34}{ m cm}^{-2}{ m s}^{-1}]$	0.56	1.15	3.6	3.6	3.5
	T <sub>run,opt</sub> [h]	15.0	12.0	4.6	4.6	6.7
e-c heat SEY=1.4(1.3)	P [W/m]	1.07 (0.44)	1.04 (0.59)	1.04 (0.59)	1.04 (0.59)	0.36 (0.1)
SR heat load 4.6-20 K	P <sub>SR</sub> [W/m]	0.17	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.36
image current heat	P <sub>IC</sub> [W/m]	0.15	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.78
gas-s. 100 h (10 h) τ <sub>b</sub>	P <sub>gas</sub> [W/m]	0.04 (0.38)	0.06 (0.56)	0.06 (0.56)	0.06 (0.56)	0.09 (0.9)
extent luminous region	$\sigma_{l}$ [cm]	4.5	4.3	3.7	3.7	5.3
comment		nominal	ultimate	D0 + crab	crab	wire comp.

2008 NSS-MIC, Dresden, 19th Oct. 2008

Stefan Tapprogge, Mainz

## Bunch structure: LHC & upgrades



## Time evolution: luminosity



shorter beam life time of ES/FCC scenario

 usefulness of initial peak luminosity?

 larger number of events per crossing (LPA)

 up to 400 simultaneous inelastic pp interactions

## Under study: luminosity leveling



- perform dynamic  $\beta^*$  squeeze during a store
  - Alternative for LPA scenario: dynamic bunch length reduction

#### favourable for experiments

→ less 'pile-up' events at beginning of store

## **Evolution of CERN accelerator complex**



## Increasing the beam energy?

## • doubling the energy (DLHC) $\sqrt{s}$ = 28 TeV

#### → nominal B field of 16.8 T (design for 18.5 - 19.3 T)

o use Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn superconductor

o several 1m models exists (with 10 - 13 T fields)

#### → timescales

detailed R&D program: at least 10 years
 production in industry: ~ 8 - 10 years
 high cost

### • tripling the energy (TLHC): $\sqrt{s} = 42$ TeV

→ nominal B field of 25 T (design for 28 - 29 T)

• HTS-BSCCO supercond., to be fully demonstrated

• large aperture needed (efficient beam screen)

#### → timescales

- R&D program: at least 20 years
- o extremely high costs



P. McIntyre,

PAC05

## Summary on machine upgrade

- three scenarios are presently available
  - → LPA (50 ns spacing): highest number of pileup events
  - $\rightarrow$  ES (25 ns spacing): crab cavities and magnet inside exp's
  - > FCC (25 ns spacing): crab cavities, no magnet inside exp's
- clear wishes from experiments (LHCC July 2008)
  - → no machine components inside detectors
  - → pile-up as low as possible
  - → easy luminosity leveling if possible
- significant energy upgrade
  - → much more ambitious and expensive
- keep in mind: what counts in the end is accumulated integrated luminosity!
  - stable running at somewhat lower peak luminosity preferable to unstable running at higher peak luminosities

# Detector upgrade

## The challenges

- Requirement to fully exploit physics potential
  - → similar detector performance as 'today'
- However much more demanding environment
  - $\rightarrow$  increased backgrounds
  - → larger particle fluxes (radiation damage)
  - → higher rates (increase in occupancies, ...)
- What to upgrade/adapt?
  - > reasonable approach: can not build a new detector!
  - → replacement of tracking detectors
    - 0 10 y lifetime expectation @ 10<sup>34</sup> sensor/electronics damage
  - → forward region
    - new machine elements closer to interaction point?
  - $\rightarrow$  check on calorimeter and muon systems
  - > trigger and data acquisition: evolution?

## The challenge: visually



## **Radiation environment**

#### $\ensuremath{\mathsf{CMS}}$ Radiation Dose in Inner Detectors



#### ATLAS: neutron flux (kHz/cm<sup>2</sup>)



 allow optimisation of shielding, moderators, beampipe layout

 need to verify radiation and activation levels with initial collisions!

## **Radiation levels**

radiation :

--- 500 fb<sup>-1</sup> = ~ 10 years at LHC --- 3000 fb<sup>-1</sup> = ~ 3 years at SLHC

CMS tracker

R (cm)	hadron fluence 10 <sup>14</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup>	Dose (kGy)
4	30/190	840/5000
11	5/ <mark>28</mark>	190/1130
22	1.5/ <mark>10</mark>	70/ <mark>420</mark>
75	0.3/2	7/40
115	0.2/ <mark>1</mark>	2/11

CMS call	ormeters	1 Gy = 1 Joule/Kg		
η	ECAL dose (kGv)	HCAL dose (kGv)		
0-15	3/18	0.2/1		
0-1.5	5710	0.271		
2.0	20/120	4/25		
2.9	200/1200	40/250		
3.5		100/ <mark>600</mark>		
5		1000/ <mark>6000</mark>		

#### • important issues

- validation with first real LHC data of present background models absolutely mandatory!
- → need operational experience



CMC calonimatona

## Upgrade in phase 1

o no major long shutdown foreseen presently

- tracking detectors
  - → CMS: replace B-layer (possibly whole pixel system)
    - fast replacement of pixel detector possible
  - ATLAS: install new B-layer inside current pixel system
     beam pipe with smaller diameter
- trigger and DAQ
  - evolve/expand/upgrade to handle higher rates and occupancies
    - profit from larger processing power for more complex trigger algorithms in higher level trigger(s)
  - → CMS:
    - more fine grained information for Level-1 (calo/muon)
    - Level-1 track trigger based on new pixel ?
  - $\rightarrow$  ATLAS:
    - fast track finder after Level-1 accept?
    - topological selection criteria at Level-1?

assessment (also including other systems) ongoing

## Tracker upgrades

• will be discussed in detail in the next presentation

# >'Tracker Upgrades'by P. Allport

## >stay tuned !

## Calorimeters

#### • will mostly remain adequate for SLHC

- → new to optimize signal processing
- → consider (partially) new readout electronics
- ATLAS forward calorimeter (FCAL)
  - might be suffering from space charge build-up, boiling of liquid Ar
    - assessment in high intensity testbeam on-going
  - options considered (if necessary)
    - new warm calorimeter in front of present FCAL
    - open (endcap) cryostat and replace FCAL



## Muon detectors

#### Limitations – occupancies of the chambers



At least half of the chambers in the inner end-cap disk would have to be replaced by chambers with higher high rate capability.



Almost all chamber would have to be replaced.

## large uncertainties in background simulation

o to be verified with initial data

#### → ATLAS: open air core toroid

• might need to replace minimal or large fraction of muon chambers

→ safety factor of 5 in present design

#### → CMS: rates probably ok

• new readout electronics ?

- options for background reduction
  - ATLAS: consider to have full Al beam pipe

• expensive, but up to factor 3

- → CMS: additional shielding towards  $|\eta|=2$ 
  - borated polyethylene, PMT shielding

## Trigger and DAQ

#### calorimeters

- → most parts will be kept (partially new electronics)
- → ATLAS: forward calorimeter subject to most radiation
- → CMS: impact of machine elements on HF, radiation damage of scintillator (HCAL) for  $|\eta|>2$

#### muon systems

- need running experience, some electronics might be replaced, background uncertainties (data needed)
- → ATLAS: reduction of background (factor 2) by Be beampipe
- trigger and data acquisition
  - → has to cope with higher rates, occupancies, ...
  - > CMS: need for track trigger at first level

## CMS track trigger at Level-1



## Outlook

## Outlook

 completion and exploitation of design LHC machine and detectors has the highest priority!

• strong physics case for upgraded LHC

- > 'moving target', will evolve with first LHC results
- luminosity upgrade to happen in (two) phases
  - → parameters and details will (continue to ) evolve
- detectors will develop with increasing luminosity
  - → minimize changes necessary (esp. phase 1)
  - complete replacement of tracking (inner) detectors
     needed for phase 2
  - $\rightarrow$  costs are not negligible

acknowledgement: results presented based on work from many colleagues from machine groups, ATLAS and CMS!

## **Resources for more information**

#### • CERN

- POFPA (Physics Opportunities for Future Proton Accelerators)
   <u>http://pofpa.web.cern.ch/pofpa/</u>
- → PAF (Proton Accelerators for the Future)
  - o http://paf.web.cern.ch/paf/
- Machine upgrade
  - → CARE-HHH network
    - High energy High intensity Hadron beams
    - <u>http://care-hhh.web.cern.ch/care-hhh</u>
  - → CARE-NED joint activity
    - Next European Dipole
    - o <a href="http://lt.tnw.utwente.nl/research/HCS/Projects/CARE-NED/">http://lt.tnw.utwente.nl/research/HCS/Projects/CARE-NED/</a>
  - → US.LARP
    - Large hadron collider Accelerator Research Program
    - <u>http://uslarp.org/</u>
- Detector upgrades
  - → ATLAS

• http://atlas.web.cern.ch/Atlas/GROUPS/UPGRADES/

→ CMS:

• Expression of Interest CERN/LHCC 2007-014



## Example: MSSM Higgs bosons



• difficult region:

- $\rightarrow$  large m<sub>A</sub> values
- → only one SM like Higgs boson observable

 increased SLHC luminosity

> → coverage in m<sub>A</sub> extended by about 100 GeV

## Example: strong V<sub>L</sub>-V<sub>L</sub> scattering



• if no Higgs found  $q \longrightarrow W, Z$ W, Z fusion/scattering :  $q \longrightarrow W, Z$ 

•  $Z_L Z_L$  resonance at mass of 750 GeV

- $\rightarrow$  decay to 4 leptons
- → L<sub>int</sub> = 3000 fb<sup>-1</sup>
- → not detectable at LHC

#### requirement of forward jet tagging

Fake fwd jet tag probability ( $|\eta| > 2$ ) from pile-up (preliminary ...)

## Early separation scenario (ES)



- ultimate beam
- stronger focusing
- early separation dipoles
- crab cavities

#### • challenges

- $\rightarrow$  new machine elements (deep) inside the detectors
- $\rightarrow$  crab cavities for hadron beams
- → poor beam and luminosity lifetime

e.g. F. Zimmermann,

talk at PAC07

## **Crab** cavities



- RF cavities
   → local around IP
   → alobal
  - → global placement at 2 locations

first results obtained in electron beams at KEK
 → no experience at a hadron collider

• commitments from various labs for R&D effort

→ could be a magic solution

## Large Piwinski angle scenario (LPA)



## interactions

#### • challenges

- → high bunch charge, larger beam current
- → operate with large Piwinski parameter (unproven)
- → wire compensation (almost established)
- e.g. F. Zimmermann, talk at PAC07

## Wire compensation



• install wire on each side of interaction point

Similar force (~ 1/r) but opposite sign to beam-beam force

#### requirements

#### $\rightarrow$ current of few 100 kA in pulsed mode

onot easy, R&D required

## LHCb upgrade plans

- plan to operate 5 years at 2\*10<sup>33</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>
  - → accumulate 100 fb<sup>-1</sup>

#### • some of the physics goals

- $\circ$  B<sub>s</sub> physics 'unique' to LHCb
- → weak mixing phase  $\phi_s$  (from  $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$ )
- → b→s transition using  $B_s \rightarrow \phi \phi$
- → CKM angle  $\gamma$  from B → DK, B<sub>s</sub> → D<sub>s</sub> K
- experimental upgrade independent of LHC upgrade
  - replace VELO with more radiation hard variant
  - → add first level trigger on detached vertices
  - → further components under study

## ALICE upgrade plans

#### • present physics program extends until 2017

- $\rightarrow$  Pb Pb, p p and p ion running
- $\rightarrow$  later low mass ions and lower energies
- present plans for further installation
  - → 2010 electromagnetic calorimeter
  - → 2012-2015 thinner beam pipe, new pixel detector, improved high  $p_T$  particle ID, improved forward instrumentation
- request for accelerator R&D to increase PbPb luminosity to 5\*10<sup>27</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>
  - $\rightarrow$  need modification to TPC, TPC electronics and DAQ